UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2008 HA	Y -5 This shace from
THERE D	Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: J. Paris, Deputy Clerk SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Sabraw FROM: Sabraw FROM: 5/1/08 Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/1/08						
	CASE NO.: 08cv244 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Raymond Robinson					
CASE TITLE: Robinson v. Rubin and Cornell						
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: First Amended Complaint						
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:						
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy				
	 5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation 5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper 					
	ncompliance already issued.					
	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timel					
	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document					
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions					
	7.1 Missing table of contents					
	15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself					
	30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order					
	Supplemental documents require court order					
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest					
	M OTHER:First-amended complaint requires leave of court					
_/	S HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE J	forwarded: 5/1/08 UDGE			
	The document is to	o be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.				
٤	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.					
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by ch						
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties put to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: Judge Sabraw					
	Dated: 5508 cc: All Parties	By:				